

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,321	10/31/2003	Stewart Logie	10069/26	2545	
29416	7590 11/23/2005		EXAM	INER	
LATTICE SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION 5555 NE MOORE COURT			FENTY,	FENTY, JESSE A	
_), OR 97124-6421		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2815		

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(X			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_		
Advisory Action	10/699,321	LOGIE, STEWART			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jesse A. Fenty	2815			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 10 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		10 / 1			
1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing			nnlicant		
must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend	lment, affidavit, or other evidence, v	vhich places the application ir	1		
condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. Th			munuea		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing		ne lonowing time periods.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		alater. In		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE		THIN		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex					
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late	r than three months after the mailing da	te of the final rejection, even if time	nely filed.		
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)			,		
NOTICE OF APPEAL					
 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Approximate was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 					
Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4					
has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per					
AMENDMENTS					
3. 🔀 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)		ducing as simplifying the issue	oo for		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying the issu	es for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-3	24).		
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 	: <u></u> .				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	timely filed amendment cance	ling the		
non-allowable claim(s).	✓ will not be entered or b) ☐ will b	a antarad and an avalanation	of how.		
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided 		e entered and an explanation	OI HOW		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>11 and 12</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>23</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>23</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>4-7,11,12 and 22-25</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an	ut before or on the date of filing a N ad sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be ent vit or other evidence is necess	ered sary and		
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	date of filing a brief will not h	20		
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails to pro	vide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowance beca	ause:		
12 Note the attached Information Displacing Statement(s)	(PTO/SR/08 or PTO-1440) Paper N	Jo(e)			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: New claim 26 brings to light a different embodiment than previously claimed. By deleting the semiconductor substrate device portion of the claim, new claim 26 takes the form of an electrical circuit that is not dependent on the particular features of the semiconductor substrate. Therefore, this claim presents a new issue that will require further consideration and a new search.

JEROME JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER